EXHIBIT 67

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

APRIL PLOEGER,

: CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff

: NO. 2:22-cv-02389-JHS

vs.

THE TRUSTEES OF THE

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Defendant :

DEPOSITION

DEPONENT: DAVID WALLACE PANSING

DATE:

Friday, April 11, 2025

TIME: 10:03 a.m.

PLACE: Zoom Videoconference

REPORTER: Krista L. Schultz, Registered

Professional Reporter and

Notary Public

KAPLAN, LEAMAN & WOLFE 230 South Broad Street, Suite 602 Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-922-7112 www.klwreporters.com

	Page 76
1	communicated to him. I asked if your communication
2	was any different. That's a yes-no question. That
3	doesn't tell me the substance of your communication.
4	ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: I disagree.
5	ATTORNEY HARRIS: I mean, it clearly
6	doesn't tell me the substance of your communication.
7	That is a yes-no question.
8	ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: If you can answer,
9	you may answer.
10	THE WITNESS: No, I was told the same
11	things. It was consistent, what I was told, across
12	those meetings.
13	BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
14	Q. Before yesterday did you believe that you were
15	fully ready to testify?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Since yesterday and before the deposition
18	started this morning, do you feel more prepared to
19	testify than you felt the day before yesterday?
20	A. That's a tongue twister. So before yesterday,
21	so you're saying
22	Q. Let me say it like this: As of Tuesday, did
23	you feel you were fully ready to testify for this
24	deposition?
25	A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Between Tuesday and 10:00 a.m. this morning,
- 2 did your confidence about your own readiness increase at
- 3 all?
- 4 A. No, it's the same.
- 5 Q. Okay. When did you learn that you were going
- 6 to have a meeting with lawyers yesterday?
- 7 A. That meeting was set up two, three weeks ago.
- 8 O. How long did you meet with the attorneys this
- 9 morning?
- 10 A. 30 minutes.
- 11 Q. How long did you meet with the attorneys
- 12 yesterday?
- 13 A. 30 minutes.
- Q. Let's head down to topic number 24. Please
- 15 tell us everything you did to get ready to testify about
- 16 topic number 24.
- 17 A. I reviewed the document. But without being
- 18 reminded what the document is, that's all I can say.
- 19 Q. And then when we pull that up, if you remember
- 20 anything else just let us know. Okay?
- 21 A. Thanks. Sure.
- 22 Q. Topic number 25, please tell us everything you
- 23 did to get ready to testify about topic number 25.
- 24 A. So I'm well-versed in the return-from-leave
- 25 process, the return from leave and all of those things

- 1 already. I coordinated the return-from-leave process
- 2 for ten years for the College, so I know those.
- 3 Q. That makes sense.
- 4 So it sounds like, and correct me if I'm
- 5 wrong, but for topic number 25 you were able essentially
- 6 just to talk about that stuff cold, didn't have to read
- 7 any extra documents or talk to any extra people?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Got you.
- 10 And then topic number 26, can you tell us
- 11 everything that you did to get ready to testify about
- 12 topic number 26.
- 13 A. I read the document.
- 14 Q. Did you have to talk to anybody?
- 15 A. No. I could tell from the document what the
- 16 answer was.
- 17 Q. And then, finally, topic number 32,
- 18 understanding, of course, that not all of these numbers
- 19 apply to you, what did you do to get ready for topic
- 20 number 32?
- 21 A. I reviewed the documents and -- that applied
- 22 to me and associated that with the other information
- 23 that I had been reviewing in notes and other things,
- 24 looking through how they fit into the record, I guess.
- 25 Not knowing what questions would be asked in advance, I

```
Page 79
     just familiarized myself with the documents and what was
 1
     going on in those cases.
                   ATTORNEY HARRIS: It seems like it's a
 3
 4
      good time for another break. I'll leave it up to the
      court reporter and then the witness as to whether you
 5
      want to take a classic lunch break or if you just want
      to do more of an extended comfort break of maybe
      20 minutes.
8
                   ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Would you like to
10
     take lunch now?
11
                   THE WITNESS: I think I need to eat
12
      something.
13
                   ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Okay. It sounds like
14
      it's, right, time for lunch. So how long would you
      like? We can take as long or as short of a break.
15
16
      20, 30 minutes, what works for you?
17
                   THE WITNESS: Let's do 30, so we can get a
18
      sandwich.
19
                   ATTORNEY HARRIS: Okay. Sounds good.
      We'll do -- it's 12:26. Let's just come back at
20
21
      1 o'clock.
22
                   ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Okay. See you then.
23
                   THE WITNESS: Thank you.
              (Lunch recess, 12:26 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.)
24
25
    BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
```

	Page 80
1	Q. Are you ready to proceed with questions?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you understand that you are still under
4	oath?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you discuss your testimony during the
7	break?
8	A. No.
9	Q. So since we took a little bit of a longer
10	break, just want to wrap up a couple of things that I
11	think that we clarified beforehand.
12	You had mentioned that you reviewed the
13	advising notes, and you had discussed that there's the
14	Path advising notes and then there's also the CaseNet
15	advising notes, and my question is related to the Path
16	advising notes. Were those advising notes given to you
17	to review or did you go on your own and review the Path
18	advising notes?
19	A. Went on my own and reviewed them.
20	Q. Then as it relates to the CaseNet advising
21	notes, were those given to you to review or did you go
22	on your own and find and review those?
23	A. I went on my own and reviewed them.
24	Q. Were the hub messages given to you or did you
25	go on your own and find and review those notes?

- 1 A. Well, I think they were given to me, but I
- 2 reviewed them on the system. So I looked at my -- I
- 3 looked at the system myself.
- 4 Q. The leave request, slash, return forms, were
- 5 those given to you or did you go on your own and find
- 6 and review those?
- 7 A. Both, I think.
- 8 O. When you say both, do you mean that they were
- 9 given to you and then you also went and looked at them
- in the system or wherever it was that they were stored?
- 11 A. Yeah, I found it easier to refer to them in
- 12 the system that I knew, so I just looked at them there,
- 13 but I believe they were given to me.
- 14 O. The documents that were relevant to the
- 15 excerpts of your portion of the deposition notice, were
- 16 -- when you said that, were you referring to that long
- 17 list of numbers in paragraph 32 as the documents that
- 18 were related to the excerpt?
- 19 ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Objection to the
- 20 form. You can answer.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm not sure what you're
- 22 asking, I guess.
- 23 BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
- Q. Sure. So let me put Exhibit 1 back up.
- 25 So we'll sort of reorient ourselves to topic

Page 82 1 number 32, this is the one where, as you can see, 2 there's just a long string of numbers in that second line of topic number 32. And before we took the lunch 3 4 break you said that you reviewed documents relevant to the excerpts of the notice, and my question was were you 5 referring to topic number 32, you reviewed the documents 6 7 that were related to you in topic number 32? Α. Correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just wanted to confirm finally, 10 Ms. Schept is the only person you spoke with other than 11 lawyers to get ready for the deposition? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Q. All right. So let's see here. 14 (Pause.) So this has been premarked as Exhibit 141. 15 16 This is Bates number D1985 through 1988. Looking here 17 at D1988, is this one of the documents that you had 18 reviewed? That is. 19 Α. 20 Q. All right. And in addition to this particular page, did you review the other documents that sort of 21 22 came with that last page there? 23 Α. Yeah. 24 Q. I'm sorry, say again. 25 Α. Yes.

	Page 83
1	Q. Okay. Great.
2	So at D19 this is the last page, D1988.
3	A. Will you show me the top page, so I know the
4	whole series you're talking about before we get started?
5	Q. Absolutely.
6	A. Yeah. Great. Thank you.
7	Q. Absolutely. Do you recognize this document
8	that we premarked as Exhibit 141?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And can you tell us generally what these
11	documents are, please?
12	A. So Angie Estevez was one of the assistant
13	directors for academic services in our office on the
14	CaseNet team and she was coordinating with student
15	intervention services, which is a different office under
16	the vice-provost for University life that convenes a
17	meeting each semester and for the summer to discuss
18	students returning from leaves of absence or
19	separations, and she was coordinating which students we
20	would discuss at that meeting.
21	And then the document below is, I think, the
22	actual list of students and Ms. Ploeger's name appears
23	on that list.
24	Q. Okay. And you said that Angie is an assistant
25	director in the with the vice-provost from University

Page 154 1 before that they were listed on Penn in Touch, which was 2 the previous system. So we are able to go in on the student's record and see whether the hold is on there or 3 4 not. When we get down to the deadline, we're 5 monitoring the students who have holds remaining and we 6 7 watch for them and we also ask advisors for each student to reach out and see if that hold is going to be removed 8 or if they're in the process, what they're doing. So we 10 try to make sure we're in communication with them and finding out where they are in the process. 11 12 Does the committee or anyone on behalf of the 13 committee ever interact with the hold originating office directly or is it just sort of looking in that system? 14 So we collaborate with student financial 15 Α. 16 services if we can. Especially if the student is not 17 understanding something, we try to make sure that we can help the student understand or figure out what's going 18 19 So in an informative way, informational way, we would with some students contact that office and find 20 21 out what's going on. 22 Are there any -- so we know that there's the -- I believe it was pending return, provisional return, 23 final return, those are the three possible return 24 25 states, correct?

Page 155 1 Α. Yes. 2 So let's start with the pending return. Ο. there anything that would -- is there any condition that 3 4 would prohibit the committee from pending return a student? 5 Is there any condition? I don't think I Α. 7 understand the question as stated. Is there any -- whether it's a hold, whether 8 Ο. it's, you know, a certain -- I don't know, a certain 10 grade or a certain class or a certain condition where 11 the committee is not allowed to return the student on a pending basis? 12 13 Α. So at one time if a student had incomplete grades, we would not make them provisional until those 14 15 incompletes were done. But we changed that, I don't 16 know, eight or nine years ago, so they could be 17 provisional until their work was done. I think that was the only one, but that one was changed at the time. 18 Yeah, I don't think that's something that -- I 19 don't think it's attached to specific conditions. 20 21 Okay. And just to be clear, the question I 22 asked was about pending. It sounds like you answered about provisional. Is your answer the same between 23 pending and provisional? 24 25 Α. Well, so what I said -- I don't know if it cut

Page 156 1 out, what I said was that we used to only allow students with incompletes to be pending, not to be provisional. 3 Q. Got you. Got you. So we've since changed that so -- yeah, so --4 and provisional would be the same answer, though. 5 There's no specific condition that would be -- that 6 7 would prevent a student from being provisionally returned. 8 Q. What analysis does the committee do to decide between pending and provisional? 10 11 So I think that there's -- the committee in 12 those situations looks at what's been going on with the 13 student, are there concerns, has the student had trouble returning before, are there signs that there are -- that 14 some of the issues are still ongoing. So those things 15 16 might be things that they want to see some action on 17 before they make the student provisional instead of making them provisional directly, then they might make 18 19 them pending in that case. 20 Ο. Are there any policies or guidelines that inform the committee that -- or helps the committee 21 22 decide whether there has been a sufficient amount of trouble with a student returning that necessitates a 23 pending versus a provisional? 24 25 Α. I don't think there's any written guidelines

	Page 163
1	they are. So we can't say any one outcome would come
2	out of that meeting. It would be a range of outcomes
3	a range suggests levels, but, you know, personalized
4	set of outcomes depending on where the student is, what
5	they need, what's going on.
6	Q. And if I remember correctly, is there at
7	one of these meetings or maybe all of these meetings
8	there's the five to ten-minute discussion of the
9	particular student's situation; is that correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. And is that at one of these meetings in
12	particular or does that happen at all of these meetings?
13	A. The SIS meeting?
14	Q. The SIS meeting and the two committee meetings
15	as well.
16	A. I'm sorry, the two what meetings?
17	Q. The two the leave and return committees,
18	that little blurb, does that occur at all three of those
19	meetings or just the SIS meeting?
20	A. Sorry, I think we're comparing apples and
21	oranges. So tell me will you ask your question
22	again, because something cut out and I also don't see
23	how those things are related, so we can work it out.
24	Q. So when the leave committee is sending a
25	student on leave, do they have that, you know, blurb of

		Page 178
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Since May 21st, 2018, April applied to return
3	from leav	ve, slash, readmittance?
4	A.	Sorry was that a question?
5	Q.	Yes.
6	Α.	I didn't hear the question part, then.
7	Q.	No worries.
8		Since May 21st, 2018, did April seek
9	readmitta	ance or returning from leave?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	When did she seek readmittance or returning
12	from leav	re after May 21st, 2018?
13	Α.	I have a July 2018 request, May let's see,
14	a July 20	21 request and a July 2022 request.
15	Q.	The requests that you have for July '18,
16	July '21,	July '22, what date did Penn receive those
17	requests?	
18	Α.	I don't have the exact date written down. I
19	assume th	ney're on the documents. July, it would have
20	been y	reah, so I can't answer that.
21	Q.	Okay. When you say you assume they'd be on
22	the docum	ments, what documents are you referring to
23	specifica	ally?
24	Α.	The leave return request that April submitted.
25	Q.	For the July 2018 request to return, who were

Page 182 1 you don't remember the exact date, when would that have 2 come in? Came in in November. She was made provisional 3 Α. 4 at the time. And then in December she was denied because she didn't get documentation or the hold off. 5 And then was there a summer or spring of 2017 6 7 request? 8 Α. The summer 2017 request was the fall 2017 request. Is that what you mean? 10 Ο. So you said that the spring 2018 request was 11 the third time she had submitted the same form, so I was trying to figure out when the first time was that was 12 13 submitted. 14 A. August '17. 15 You said August of 2017? O. 16 Α. Correct. 17 Okay. And so that form was submitted twice, Q. 18 then. Or three times? Submitted in August of 2017 and then in 19 Α. November of 2017 and then again in July of 2018, and CLR 20 21 wanted to see a return request that had been written 22 more recently. 23 Is there a policy or procedure or any other 24 kind of written guidance that deals with a student not 25 being permitted to submit the same return from leave

Page 183 1 form? No, there's not -- wait, let me think, how you 2 3 phrased it. 4 So a student may only submit a form when they have -- when they're past the date that the committee 5 has allowed them to submit, which is fine. I mean, in this case, in July of 2018 there was a denial based on that. So it wasn't that we didn't let her submit the 8 form, it was that we denied the request. 10 And so is there a policy, procedure or any 11 kind of written guidance that says if the request is the same request X number of times, then the student will 12 13 not be admitted? 14 No, but the purpose of the return request is Α. to meet the student where they are, hear what they're 15 16 doing at the time, work with them on what's going on at 17 the time. So a three-year-old request or two-year-old request is not -- not really relevant and wasn't 18 considered participating fully in the process. 19 20 Q. Would you agree that the -- because earlier we talked about individualized assessments. Would you 21 22 agree that circumstances could exist where the same 23 request form could be used? 24 So what I would say is that we would -- that all that had to be done at that point was that if 25

- 1 A. I interpret that as potentially hyperbole, but
- 2 something I cannot confirm.
- 3 Q. "From Ms. Delaney, no reply," how do you
- 4 interpret that sentence?
- 5 A. I interpret that as potentially hyperbole and
- 6 something I can't confirm.
- 7 O. Can't or won't confirm?
- 8 A. Couldn't at the time and I don't know who that
- 9 person is, so I don't even know if I could confirm it at
- 10 this point.
- 11 Q. Were you physically incapable of confirming or
- 12 -- with Ms. Brown-Nevers?
- 13 A. No, I was not physically incapable of
- 14 confirming with Mr. Brown-Nevers.
- 15 Q. Why didn't you?
- 16 A. Because I was in my role, which was to define
- 17 what the student needed to do to return from leave and
- 18 to give her the guidance for that, which I did in the
- 19 email that I followed up with.
- 20 Q. The email that you followed up with which you
- 21 call quidance is to try calling them on the phone, but
- 22 otherwise she needs to work with SFS, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct. SFS is the only office that
- 24 can take the financial hold off.
- Q. And earlier in the deposition where you

Page 207 1 testified that you could take some action to help 2 facilitate communications between the student and other departments, why didn't you do that in this situation? 3 4 Α. So in this situation I could see that she was meeting with a lot of different people already and that 5 I assumed that those people would be working with her. 6 7 Okay. So you took from "I've received no reply for weeks from anyone. From Ms. Brown-Nevers, no 8 9 reply. From Ms. Delaney, no reply, as they were having 10 meetings; is that correct? 11 ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Object to the form. 12 THE WITNESS: I saw that she had 13 connections with people in that office, that she knew 14 their names and presumably had sent them emails, and I 15 trusted that my colleagues were either working with 16 her or had worked with her and I -- yeah, that's what 17 I did. BY ATTORNEY HARRIS: 18 19 Ο. So you assumed April was lying? 20 ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Object to the form. 21 THE WITNESS: I don't necessarily assume 22 she was lying, but I had been working with April, she 23 often sent a followup email that didn't accept what the original email responded -- had responded, and I 24 25 wasn't sure if that was what had happened in this

	Page 208
1	situation, too.
2	BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
3	Q. In preparation for the deposition where you
4	saw you say you saw emails from SFS to April Ploeger,
5	were those documents that were given to you or were
6	those things that you sought out on your own?
7	A. They were given to me.
8	Q. Did you bring those documents to the
9	deposition with you?
10	A. No, I did not.
11	Q. Why not?
12	A. Because I wasn't in a role of bringing
13	documents to the deposition with me.
14	Q. Well, I understand that that's your
15	understanding, but I guess you weren't aware that you do
16	did have the role to bring documents to the
17	deposition with you?
18	ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Objection.
19	Objection. We put forth our objections to your
20	notice, including referencing the documents that were
21	responsive, they had already been produced. There was
22	nothing else to bring here.
23	ATTORNEY HARRIS: Maybe you should read
24	Rule 30(b)(2), but that's not how that works.
25	BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:

	Page 227
1	couldn't wasn't receiving messages in the hub
2	anymore.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. So we started sending them to her by email.
5	Q. And you looked in the hub and saw that April
6	had, in fact, not been able to access those messages,
7	correct?
8	A. I believe that the yes. Noticing that she
9	wasn't receiving messages, we figured it out and so
10	tried to meet her by sending the emails instead.
11	Q. Got you.
12	But April here is saying that she was emailing
13	Penn. Do you know why she wasn't getting any responses
14	to her emails, as opposed to hub messages?
15	ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Object to the form.
16	THE WITNESS: So I don't know what
17	"emailing Penn" means, so I wouldn't have known then
18	and I don't know now. I also think if there's a
19	hyperbole example, that might be one of them, all caps
20	"Any of my emails from Penn for months."
21	BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
22	Q. Did the University do anything to investigate
23	if April had, in fact, been sending emails to Penn for
24	months with no reply?
25	A. I had replied to her and her email in less

- 1 than a month at this point.
- Q. Well, in the context of the sentence she's
- 3 saying she wasn't notified about the decision in terms
- 4 of fall leave until she called because nobody would
- 5 reply to her emails. So in that context, what did Penn
- 6 do to investigate whether or not there were responses to
- 7 or even emails in that time frame?
- 8 A. So in my office we did not have a set of
- 9 emails that suggested that she had been emailing and not
- 10 receiving a reply for months. So we just didn't see
- 11 that. That wasn't something we saw.
- 12 Q. To get ready for your deposition did you
- investigate what Penn did in response to this email from
- 14 her?
- 15 A. No, that was not -- I didn't consider it -- I
- 16 didn't consider it a way or a claim that had merit, I
- 17 did not investigate it.
- 18 Q. So you decided it didn't have merit before you
- 19 knew whether it had merit or not?
- 20 A. I knew it to be false because I had e-mailed
- 21 her in the month before it. So for the -- it wasn't
- 22 months. So, you know.
- 23 Q. The emails that you're referring to, are those
- 24 the August 1st, 2018 emails that we looked at in the
- 25 previous exhibit?

	Page 249
1	Mart. He's still talking.
2	THE WITNESS: Ms. Ploeger had submitted
3	the same form multiple times and the committee did not
4	feel that that was sufficient engagement with the
5	process.
6	BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
7	Q. And what is the measurement of sufficient
8	engagement?
9	A. Well, in this case it would have been writing
10	a new form, writing new responses to the form.
11	Q. But if the form was written in full and as
12	truthfully as April could write the form, what does the
13	committee want, her to stop saying the word
14	"litigation"?
15	ATTORNEY GREENSPAN: Objection.
16	THE WITNESS: It has nothing to do with
17	that. Litigation is handled by the office of general
18	counsel and not something that our committee takes
19	into account ever.
20	BY ATTORNEY HARRIS:
21	Q. Well, the information about April's litigation
22	is specifically in the committee's mind and in the
23	committee's eyeballs, correct?
24	A. That is not something we ever consider and we
25	have, in fact, advanced her requests after this request.